FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

APR 19 2006

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JOSEPH FURROW,

No. 05-56438

Plaintiff - Appellant,

D.C. No. CV-04-01092-DDP

V.

MEMORANDUM*

BARRY A. BISSON,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California Dean D. Pregerson, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 10, 2006 **

Before: KOZINSKI, RYMER and PAEZ, Circuit Judges.

Appellee's letter dated January 27, 2006, is construed as a Motion for Summary Affirmance. A review of the record and the opening brief indicates that the questions raised in this appeal are so insubstantial as not to require further

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

05-56438

argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam) (stating standard).

Accordingly, we summarily affirm the district court's judgment.

AFFIRMED.